



Equity and Inclusion Commission Agenda

Wednesday, March 18, 2026

6:30 PM

City Council Chambers

In accordance with [Minnesota Statutes §13D.02](#) and City policy, Council and Commission members may attend meetings remotely up to three times per calendar year.

(Times listed are approximate – please note that items may be earlier or later than listed on the agenda)

1. Roll Call

6:02 p.m. **2. Approve Agenda**

3. Receive Public Comment

4. Approval of Meeting Minutes

a. Review and approve meeting minutes

5. Business Items

a. Commissioner Swearing in

b. Staff update on City's response to federal immigration enforcement

c. Commissioner Handbook/onboarding update

d. Commissioner Update on Civic Campus Final Design

6. Commission Direction on Member Initiated Agenda Items

a. EIC response to community support needs resulting from federal immigration enforcement

7. Other Business

8. Adjourn

1 **Equity and Inclusion Commission**
2 **Meeting Minutes**
3 **DRAFT – February 18, 2026 - DRAFT**
4

5
6 **Commissioners Present:** Amanda LaGrange, Gabrielle Filip-Crawford, Nicole Singaram,
7 Paul Stanley, Chris Taylor, and Prajwal Vemireddy
8

9 **Youth Commissioners:** Sophia Salinas-Ruiz
10

11 **Commissioners Absent:** Gwen Goedken (excused)
12

13 **Staff Present:** Equity and Inclusion Manager Antonio Montez
14

15 **Call to Order/Roll Call**

16
17 The Equity and Inclusion Commission (EIC) meeting was called to order at 6:36 p.m.
18

19 **Approve Agenda**
20

21 Equity and Inclusion Manager Montez requested under Other Business, adding updates on
22 Commission Interviews and the Maintenance and Operations Center meeting.
23

24 Commissioner LaGrange moved, and Commissioner Taylor seconded a motion to approve the
25 February 18, 2026, Equity and Inclusion Commission agenda as amended. Motion passed
26 unanimously.
27

28 **Receive Public Comment**
29

30 None.
31

32 **Business Items**
33

34 **a. Approve Minutes**
35

36 Commissioner Filip-Crawford moved, and Commissioner Taylor seconded a motion to approve
37 the January 28, 2026, Equity and Inclusion Commission meeting minutes as presented. Motion
38 passed unanimously.
39

40 The commission went through an icebreaker activity before moving on to regular business items.
41

42 **b. Staff Updated on City’s Response to Federal Immigration Enforcement**
43

44 Equity and Inclusion Manager Montez provided an update on the city’s response to federal
45 immigration enforcement efforts. He explained the city manager’s update included policy
46 language for immigration enforcement and community feedback.

47
48 Mr. Montez discussed the policy language for immigration enforcement and the role of the
49 multicultural advisory council (MAC). The conversation highlighted the importance of
50 community feedback and the need for clear policy language. The city manager’s update included
51 the need for supervisors to respond to federal immigration activity when feasible. He noted the
52 discussion included the challenges of balancing emergency response and community needs. He
53 emphasized the city manager’s willingness to update policies based on new information.

54
55 Commissioner LaGrange expressed concern about community members’ perceptions of police
56 presence during certain incidents.

57
58 Mr. Montez suggested using an email address for community feedback and mentioned the city’s
59 online reporting form.

60
61 The discussion included the importance of having a record of incidents for future reference.

62
63 Mr. Montez shared information about a food and personal products drive organized by the police
64 department. He discussed developing a trusted messenger program to improve communication
65 with the community. The program aims to engage community members and organizations to
66 disseminate information during emergencies quickly. He explained the city manager was leading
67 an effort to map the community and identify key contacts for the program.

68
69 Discussion included the importance of flexible appointment times at the license and passport
70 centers.

71
72 Mr. Montez announced an upcoming Economic Development Authority meeting on February 23,
73 2026. The meeting will focus on rent assistance options for people affected by Operation Metro
74 Surge. The conversation will include the potential use of local affordable housing aid funds for
75 innovative community support.

76
77 **c. Commissioner Handbook Update**

78
79 Equity and Inclusion Manager Montez provided an update on the Commissioner Handbook. He
80 explained that the handbook was created by the administration department in 2021.

81
82 Discussion included the need to make the handbook more user-friendly and accessible, possibly
83 in video format. The idea of creating a shorter, more condensed version of the handbook was
84 proposed, and the importance of balancing detailed information with accessibility for new
85 commissioners was emphasized.

86
87 **Commission Direction on Member-Initiated Agenda Items**

88 **a. EIC Response to Community Supports Needs Resulting from Federal Immigration**
89 **Enforcement.**

90 Commissioner Stanley shared that after the recent city council meeting, he felt inspired
91 by the discussion on ethos and values, especially given the strong response from

92 community stakeholders. He received many emails and sensed that the commission is
93 well-positioned to help address community concerns, particularly around equity,
94 inclusion, and diversity.

95 Commissioner Stanley explained that, as a newer member, he felt unsure about the
96 commission’s role in those situations. He recognizes that the commission primarily
97 serves in an advisory capacity and is not seeking to change its mission. Instead, he
98 proposed a discussion to better understand what the commission can realistically and
99 appropriately do, especially in supporting the city council and helping manage the
100 growing workload facing staff and elected officials.

101 Commissioner Stanley also expressed uncertainty about how to respond to community
102 members who reach out directly, noting that, without a clear framework, he has chosen to
103 hold back and defer to the city council's actions. Ultimately, his goal in bringing the item
104 forward was to open a conversation about whether the commission can take more
105 actionable steps within its scope and to clarify expectations for communication and
106 engagement during times of change or crisis.

107 Commissioner Stanley questioned how the commission could better support the city
108 council by being more actionable rather than merely advisory, especially in areas of focus
109 such as equity, inclusion, and diversity. He shared his experience of feeling unprepared to
110 respond to community emails due to a lack of framework, leading him to hold back and
111 let the city council meetings speak for the city. He emphasized the commission’s role in
112 responding to crises and changes related to equity, inclusion, and diversity, and suggested
113 discussing the planning for such responses.

114
115 Commissioner LaGrange proposed adding the discussion to the March Agenda.

116
117 Mr. Montez agreed, stressing the importance of being prepared for future issues.

118
119 Chair Vemireddy mentioned the difficulty of balancing monthly meetings with ongoing
120 issues.

121
122 Mr. Montez reiterated the need for continuous preparation and infrastructure to handle
123 future challenges.

124
125 **Other Business**

126
127 Equity and Inclusion Manager Montez reported on the following:

- 128 • **Commission Interviews update**
129 Chair Vemireddy updated the commission on the ongoing commission interviews and the
130 high number of applicants. He noted the importance of a communication strategy, and the
131 community engagement in the selection process was highlighted.

- 132
133 • **Maintenance and Operations Center Meeting update**

134 Chair Vemireddy provided an update on the new public works and parks operations
135 facility project. He indicated the project included a \$64 million budget over 20 years for
136 construction and maintenance.

137

138 Discussion included the potential impact on Veterans Park and the VFW, as well as the
139 inclusion of electric charging stations.

140

141 **Adjournment**

142

143 Commissioner Taylor moved, and Commissioner Stanley seconded a motion to adjourn. Motion
144 passed unanimously.

145

146 Chair Vemireddy adjourned the meeting at 8:02 p.m.

147

148 Respectfully submitted,

149

150 Sue Osbeck

151 *TimeSaver Off-Site Secretarial, Inc.*

Roseville Equity and Inclusion Commission Agenda Item

DATE: March 18, 2026

ITEM: 5.a.

ITEM DESCRIPTION: Commissioner Swearing in

Background

City Council appointed our newest EIC commissioner Narayan Dhakal. Staff will swear in commissioner Dhakal for their term.

Recommendation

swear in commissioner Dhakal

Attachments

None

Roseville Equity and Inclusion Commission Agenda Item

DATE: March 18, 2026

ITEM: 5.b.

ITEM DESCRIPTION: Staff update on City's response to federal immigration enforcement

Background

City staff will provide an update to the commission on recent City response efforts to support community needs during recent federal immigration enforcement efforts. Focused on updates regarding joining the Cities for Safe and Stable Communities Coalition and emergency rent relief update.

Recommendation

Receive update and discuss

Attachments

None

Roseville Equity and Inclusion Commission Agenda Item

DATE: March 18, 2026

ITEM: 5.c.

ITEM DESCRIPTION: Commissioner Handbook/onboarding update

Background

The commission continues to work with staff to update the commissioner handbook and onboarding process to ensure new commissioners have access to the necessary information for a successful commission experience.

Recommendation

Review and discuss documents.

Attachments

- 1. New Commissioner Quick Guide



New Commissioner Quick Guide

Updated: January 2026

Fill-in (optional):

Commission name	
Meeting schedule	
Staff liaison	
Term dates	

Commented [PV1]: Is this a valuable section? The staff liaison could fill this out for the new commissioners who have joined.

1) Welcome - what you are joining

A City commission is a group of residents that helps the City Council make better decisions by:

- Learning about an issue,
- Listening to residents and staff,
- Discussing options in public meetings, and
- Offering advice and recommendations.

Commissions are advisory; the City Council makes final policy decisions.

Your job, in one sentence: show up prepared, participate respectfully, and help your commission give clear, well-reasoned advice that reflects the community.

2) Your first 30 days checklist

Before your first meeting:

- Meet your staff liaison (they are your main point of contact and your guide to how the City works).
- Skim recent agendas and minutes so you know what the commission has been working on.
- Add link to agendas here?

Before each meeting:

- Read the agenda packet ahead of time.
- If you have questions, send them to the staff liaison before the meeting.

3) What the City expects from commissioners

These expectations keep commissions effective and credible:

- Attend meetings and let the staff liaison know ahead of time if you will miss one.
- Be prepared (read materials, ask questions early, show up ready to discuss).
- Respect the process; issues and decisions should be openly discussed at meetings (not in side conversations).
- No special influence. Do not seek special treatment because of your role.
- Be respectful and professional (your role is public-facing).

4) Meetings are public - and must fulfill Open Meeting Law

Commission meetings must be public, properly noticed, and recorded.

What counts as a meeting? If a quorum is present for official purposes, it is considered a meeting and must follow Open Meeting Law requirements.

Definition of a Quorum: a quorum is a simple majority of the commission's authorized seats (for example, 4 of 7).

A point to note: email or text chains can accidentally become a meeting.

Example: one commissioner emails another about an agenda item; that gets forwarded to enough members that a quorum is involved, now you have a quorum discussing City business outside a public meeting.

Best practice (simple rule): if you want to share something with the full group, send it to the staff liaison to distribute appropriately for public discussion.

5) Conflicts of interest and ethics (protect yourself and the City)

If you have a personal conflict on an issue, you should disclose it and abstain from discussion and voting. Ask your staff liaison, they can consult the City Attorney as needed.

Commissioners are expected to understand City ethics rules and attend annual ethics training when required.

6) Who is responsible for what?

Chair:

- Runs the meeting, keeps it fair and productive, and ensures people (commissioners and the public) can be heard.

Commission members:

- Participate fully, ask good questions, and help gather community feedback.
- Do not direct staff and do not speak for the City or commission unless authorized.

Staff liaison:

- The connector between the commission and City Council; helps with procedures, packets, agendas, and minutes, and helps the commission stay aligned with City goals.

7) Work plans, annual reporting, and the joint meeting with Council

Each commission is expected to set an annual work plan and provide a year-end summary of accomplishments. There is also an annual joint meeting with City Council to discuss the prior year and upcoming work plan.

8) Social media: helpful, but be careful

You are not authorized to speak on behalf of the City or your commission unless explicitly designated. On social media:

- Make it clear your views are personal (for example: "opinions are my own").
- Do not share confidential or private information.
- Be cautious commenting on topics likely to come before your commission (it can look like you pre-decided, or create a conflict).

9) Accessibility and inclusion: part of the job

Roseville is committed to inclusive participation. As a commissioner:

- Use inclusive language and avoid jargon when possible.
- Help create space for all voices (especially those often underrepresented).
- If someone needs an accommodation, refer them to the staff liaison.

10) Keeping your seat: attendance matters

Attendance expectations are important. If a commissioner misses three consecutive meetings and/or 30% or more of meetings in a rolling 12-month period, that information may be forwarded to City Council and the Council may remove the member.

11) Where to go for details (reference section)

This quick guide is just the starting point. Detailed policies and procedures should live in a reference section or appendix (for example: appointment policy, ethics code, rules of order, and uniform commission code).

Questions for Antonio and commission:

- **What would confuse a first-time commissioner? What still feels like "City-speak"?**
- **What is not in the guide that should be?**
- **What should be cut or moved to Reference/Appendix because it is not needed on day 1?**
- **Are we missing any common rookie mistake scenarios?**
- **What else would make this more welcoming for residents who have not served in government before?**

Roseville Equity and Inclusion Commission Agenda Item

DATE: March 18, 2026

ITEM: 5.d.

ITEM DESCRIPTION: Commissioner Update on Civic Campus Final Design

Background

Commissioner Vemireddy will present to the group the background of the new maintenance center being constructed, what the stakeholder group has done so far, and the projects' current status.

Recommendation

Receive update, discuss as necessary.

Attachments

1. 250485 20260212 Stakeholder Mtg 2
2. 250485 20260212 City of Roseville SG2 - Meeting Minutes_updated
20260227_202602271405339440



Stakeholder Group Meeting 2

Roseville Civic Campus

February 12, 2026 | City of Roseville



Agenda

Greetings & Review

Project Update

Design Input Stations

Input Review

Next Steps

Greetings & Review

Most of us have already met, but let's spend 15 minutes re-introducing ourselves & reviewing the project scope & role of this group

- Please provide your name and role relative to this project








Scope of this Project: Existing Conditions

Building Key

- A** Existing Maintenance Facility
- B** City Hall & Police Station
- C** Fire Station
- D** Licensing / Dance Center
- E** Park Building
- F** VFW

Site Key

- 1** Enclosed Yard
- 2** Antennas
-  Property Boundary
-  Easement Boundary
-  10' Contour
-  2' Contour








Scope of this Project: Previous Concept C.1

Building Key

- A** Licensing / Dance Center
- B** Salt Building
- C** Flex Office
- D** Tire Area
- E** Repair Garage
- F** Wash Bays
- G** Admin Offices
- H** City Hall & Police Station
- I** Fire Station
- J** VFW

Site Key

- 1** Enclosed Garage for Fleet Storage
- 2** Parking Lot Expansion
- 3** Paved Area for Vehicle Manuevering
-  Property Boundary
-  Easement Boundary
-  10' Contour
-  2' Contour








Scope of this Project: Previous Concept C.2

Building Key

- A** Licensing / Dance Center
- B** Salt Building
- C** Flex Office
- D** Tire Area
- E** Repair Garage
- F** Wash Bays
- G** Admin Offices
- H** City Hall & Police Station
- I** Fire Station
- J** VFW

Site Key


- 1** Enclosed Garage for Fleet Storage
- 2** Parking Lot Expansion
- 3** Paved Area for Vehicle Manuevering
-  Property Boundary
-  Easement Boundary
-  10' Contour
-  2' Contour



Role of this Stakeholder Group

This group is assembled to provide input on the following:

- Overall site configuration
- Landscape/hardscape/streetscape buffering on edges of project
- Design elements of building (architecture, exterior materials, height)
- Mitigation efforts to minimize impact to surrounding properties



Project Update

Project Timeline

We Are Here!



Winter 2025/6

Project Kickoff
Review & Analysis
Community
Engagement

Spring 2026

Design
Develop Initial
Recommendations

Summer/Fall 2026

Design
Bidding LCPDS

Fall/Winter 2026

MOC Design
LCPDS Construction

Spring 2027

MOC Construction

Project Progress

Since our first meeting, we have...

- Developed and refined programming for all aspects, but especially LPCDS
- Determined needed parking and circulation areas for the site
- Set goals for sustainability and resilience
- Begun exploring form and materiality



Project Progress: LPCDS

For the LPCDS...


- We explored multiple layouts, including one- and two-story buildings. A one-story option is preferred for the following reasons:
 - Single level design provides a better scale transition to the single family homes to the west
 - The current building program does not “stack” efficiently decreasing user wayfinding and adding construction complexity and cost
 - Two levels increases costs due to the addition of two exit stairs and an elevator
 - One level increases available roof space for solar
- We are looking at detailed layouts for the interior
- Outside form and materiality explorations have begun



Project Progress: MOC

For the MOC...

- We explored multiple layouts for the buildings and other required facilities, including one- and two-story buildings. A one-story option is preferred for the following reasons:
 - Majority of functions require vehicular access
 - Two levels increases costs due to the addition of two exit stairs and an elevator
 - One level increases available roof space for solar
- North of Woodhill, we have progressed the detailed program and organization of functional areas.
- South of Woodhill, we are just beginning more detailed programming and layout for the various functions.



Project Progress: Site

For the parking and park...

- We have determined that we need approximately 150 parking stalls
- We are exploring parking lot layouts that have more or less impact on the existing park footprint.
- We have begun determining how to handle stormwater management.




Design Input Stations

Design Input Stations

There are three stations for you to leave feedback on the current designs for the LPCDS & MOC

- LPCDS Building Exterior
- MOC Screening Opportunities
- Site Options, including Veterans Park & the shared parking lot



Input Review

Input Review

Let's briefly review the input gathered at each station:

- LPCDS Building Exterior
- MOC Screening Opportunities
- Site Options, including Veterans Park & the shared parking lot



Next Steps

Next Steps

- Schedule next meeting
- Meeting notes from today
- Design team continues design



- 3) Modern finishes and the colonnade were also well received – important to balance classic design/design that will age well with a modern take.
 - ii. MOC: These facilities will be heavily driven by programming/vehicular circulation, but feedback is needed to understand how the perimeter could be designed to better reflect community desires.
 - 1) Path around stormwater in northwest corner of MOC parcel, connected to the park.
 - 2) Ash trees along Lexington need to be removed due to their quality, outlook given Emerald Ash Borer, and their location relative to the road (lower than sidewalk).
 - 3) Aim for a diversity of species for vegetated buffers (deciduous and evergreen), prioritizing native species and canopy trees.
 - 4) Layered components with color and diversity – break up long walls.
 - 5) Interest in the idea of a feature wall and highlighting certain areas with lighting.
 - 6) Think about how this will feel in the winter months.
 - 7) Concerns about gabion materials – industrial vibe and their maintenance considerations.
 - 8) Consider lower cost options in less visible areas.
 - iii. Site: The parking lot should provide 140-160 stalls and serve the LPCDS, VFW, Veterans Park, and a secondary driveway from the MOC. The park itself will need to have its own community engagement process similar to other city park projects that would involve this level of intervention.
 - 1) Make sure park amenities serve the neighbors to the north.
 - 2) A target parking number has been reached by looking at current traffic being driven by the license and passport center, the park, and the VFW by conducting daily counts over the past several weeks and overlaying that observed data with city code requirements based on the varying uses and what is allowed to be shared.
 - a) Accessible, electric charging, compact, etc. parking spaces have yet to be overlaid with the parking layouts.
 - 3) Not enough tree coverage in the park so it is very hot for soccer and other users; add shade.
 - 4) The picnic plaza received the most positive feedback, and participants also proposed community garden space and ways to reuse roof water from adjacent facilities for irrigation.
 - 5) A medium or large-sized playground was also popular with participants.
 - 6) Support for loop trail, memorial element, etc.
3. Next Steps
- a. Proposed design solutions will be presented at the next meeting.
 - b. The scheduling of the next meeting will occur via email.
 - c. Materials from both Stakeholder Group meetings will be posted on the project website.

The following is an exact transcription of feedback received on the station boards.

LPCDS

West Façade

Photos, sorted by most feedback:

1. Angled Windows Can Increase Privacy – 4 votes
2. Primarily Clerestory Windows – 4 votes
3. West Elevation with 10% Window Coverage – 2 votes
4. West Elevation with 20% Window Coverage (code minimum) – 1 vote
5. Façade with Minimal/Entirely Screened Windows – 0 votes, “This looks too wall-y”

Comments:

1. Clerestory windows give max wall space, but good natural light
2. Bird safe glass
3. Confirm/consider reflection of sun off windows in afternoon into houses behind
4. It depends on the vibe of the rest of the building

East Façade

Photos with Feedback, sorted by most feedback:

1. Warm Brick Façade – 3 votes
2. Defined Entry/Corner with Tall Windows – 3 votes, “Bird safe glass”
3. Roseville Fire Station – 2 votes, “Nice façade – timeless, classic, nice lighting at night”
4. Civic Columns to Define Entries – 2 votes, “I like this aesthetic with pillars, it gives me Google HQ vibes”
5. Columns with Organic Forms – 2 votes
6. Vertical Precast/Stucco Panels – 1 vote
7. Horizontal Lap Siding – 1 vote
8. Light Metal Panel Siding – “Looks trendy :(“
9. Perforated Metal Panel Siding – “Looks trendy :(“
10. Façade Screening Panel – “No”

MOC

Photos with Feedback, sorted by most feedback:

1. Metal Perforated Screen with Lighting – 4 votes, “Lexington Side”
2. Wall with Integrated City Branding/Placemaking – 4 votes

3. Wide Vegetated Buffer – 3 votes
4. Vegetated Screen Fence – 2 votes
5. CMU Wall Layered with Native Landscaping – 2 votes
6. Wooden Privacy Fence – 2 votes
7. Aluminum Privacy Fence – 2 votes
8. Vegetated Screen Wall – 1 vote
9. Gabion Fence with Integrated Seating – 1 vote
10. Narrow Vegetated Buffer – “Max space 20’; large rocks, murals, all interspersed; be sure to think of winter too; plenty of room for adequate root growth; native where possible – maybe a juniper or two for winter”

Comments:

1. Recycled wood fence
2. Combo of CMU wall and [wooden] privacy fence

SITE

Amenities

Photos with Feedback, sorted by most feedback:

1. Picnic Patio – 6 votes, “picnic patio seems like a really good idea – people can enjoy food from VFW, City employees can enjoy lunch, parents can sit somewhere shaded while watching their kids play” – 1 vote
2. Medium Playground – 4 votes
3. Grass Volleyball Court – 4 votes
4. Large Playground – 3 votes
5. Large Open Lawn – 3 votes
6. Medium Shelter – 3 votes
7. Large Shelter – 1 vote
8. Small Open Lawn – 1 vote
9. U13 Soccer Field – “No [too hot due to lack of shade]”
10. Full Softball Field – “This is amenity that we have a lot of”

Comments:

1. Combine patio with playground – 1 vote
2. All choices should be small as site is small – playground, natural area, walking loop, not area for larger sports, area for natural pick up games
3. Park restrooms

Site Option 1

1. I like this parking lot – 1 vote
2. Cistern roof water for irrigation – 1 vote
3. Community garden with a cistern for watering – 1 vote
4. Bring outside to remove of parking in dedicated path; path on outside
5. Label: “Align Driveway with Civic Center Drive & Provide Crosswalk Connection” – 1 vote

Site Option 2

1. Sidewalk from Lexington to LPCDS on Northside – 1 vote
2. Love the crosswalk on Woodhill – 1 vote
3. How do they handle trash in the building?
4. Narrow wide road (in future) – two way left turn lane, RRFB
5. Some kind of Veteran’s memorial
6. Would love some kind of integration of Roseville history – history of land, VFW/park, city founding
7. Good plantings in parking lot
8. Water with ribbon curb
9. Good planting and screening for neighbors

c: LHB Project No. 250485

m:\25proj\250485\200 communication\meetings\20260212 stakeholder meeting 2\250485 20260212 city of roseville sg2 - meeting minutes.docx

From: [Lydia Major](#)
To: [Lydia Major](#)
Subject: FW: Civic Campus Final Design Stakeholder Group Meeting
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 12:32:00 PM

From: Mark Schutta <mark_schutta@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2026 5:49 AM
To: Pat Trudgeon <pat.trudgeon@cityofroseville.com>
Cc: VFW Dan T <danielthomson639@comcast.net>; Dutch Johnson Michael G <michaelgdutchjohnson@gmail.com>; Krystal Schmidt <krystalkbehr18@hotmail.com>
Subject: Fwd: Civic Campus Final Design Stakeholder Group Meeting

Pat,

Please see the attached two main points we would like to recommend:

1. Go with the option that offers greater parking spots. More parking is better than less since the western portion of the lot does get its full use during winter/hockey season at the ice arena. I can envisioning a fuller lot in the future between License, Passport, Dance, Hockey, Park and VFW patrons once the new building is in operation and more so during winter events in the area and winter parking due to snow and such. I'm not aware if an assessment was accomplished to determine what the maximum parking spots are needed for each venue, especially if they all have activities on the same day at the same time.
2. While I have no doubt the planning team will pick out nice building materials and landscaping, our main concern is the fencing between the VFW and the MOC. We recommend something esthetically pleasing so when patrons use the VFW patio and green space they don't feel like they are in a prison yard.

I apologize for my late response, we appreciate being part of this planning process.

V/R,

Mark

Roseville Equity and Inclusion Commission Agenda Item

DATE: March 18, 2026

ITEM: 6.a.

ITEM DESCRIPTION: EIC response to community support needs resulting from federal immigration enforcement

Background

Commissioner Stanley-Proposal for EIC (Equity and Inclusion Commission) response and community support in light of ICE (Immigration and Customs Enforcement) and DHS(Department of Homeland Security) actions in the Twin Cities and Roseville.

It is recognized that the Roseville Equity and Inclusion Commission is tasked with supporting the goals of the City Council in an advisory capacity on issues related to equity and inclusion and community engagement. However, in light of the recent actions taken by ICE and DHS that have had significant impacts on the lives and safety of the Roseville community, it can be argued that the EIC is uniquely positioned within the Roseville government framework to be of use to citizens of Roseville in a more direct capacity.

It is proposed that the EIC open a discussion of potential statement from the commission regarding ICE and DHS operations in Roseville as well as potential ideas for community supports that the members of the EIC committee can implement (mutual aid organization, resource guides, etc.).

The agenda item is meant to support the stated goals and strategic plan of the City of Roseville and to connect the local government directly to its stakeholders which strongly aligns with the community engagement focus of the EIC.

Recommendation

Discuss the proposal and vote whether to include in the agenda for a complete discussion in the next EIC meeting scheduled for February 2026

Attachments

1. Commissioner Stanley Initiated Agenda Item

Item Description:

Commissioner Stanley-Proposal for EIC (Equity and Inclusion Commission) response and community support in light of ICE (Immigration and Customs Enforcement) and DHS(Department of Homeland Security) actions in the Twin Cities and Roseville.

Background:

It is recognized that the Roseville Equity and Inclusion Commission is tasked with supporting the goals of the City Council in an advisory capacity on issues related to equity and inclusion and community engagement. However, in light of the recent actions taken by ICE and DHS that have had significant impacts on the lives and safety of the Roseville community, it can be argued that the EIC is uniquely positioned within the Roseville government framework to be of use to citizens of Roseville in a more direct capacity.

Proposed Agenda Item and Objective:

It is proposed that the EIC open a discussion of potential statement from the commission regarding ICE and DHS operations in Roseville as well as potential ideas for community supports that the members of the EIC committee can implement (mutual aid organization, resource guides, etc.).

Equity Impact Summary

The agenda item is meant to support the stated goals and strategic plan of the City of Roseville and to connect the local government directly to its stakeholders which strongly aligns with the community engagement focus of the EIC.

Requested Action:

That this agenda item be added to the 01/28/2026 EIC agenda for discussion by the commission.